			UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Box 1450 Alexandma, Virguna 22313-1450 www.upho.gov	
PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/586,381	06/02/2000	David E. Green	2130	7037
25280 75	90 05/19/2003			
MILLIKEN &	COMPANY	EXAMINER		
920 MILLIKEN RD PO BOX 1926			WACHTEL, ALEXIS A	
SPARTANBURG, SC 29304			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1764	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/586,381	GREEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alexis Wachtel	1764
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sh et wit	th th corr spondence addr ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR	REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ys statute, cause the application to become AB/	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>10 March 2003</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	igtriangleq This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice		
Disposition of Claims	in the explication	
4) Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 15-24</u> is/are pending		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	indrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)[▲] Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 15-24</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers	and/or election requirement.	
9) ☑ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b) 🔲 objected to by th	ne Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objectic	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) di	sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are require	d in reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in Ap	oplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of th application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do		
a) The translation of the foreign langua T5) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d	ge provisional application has be	en received.
	, .,	
Attachment(s)		

Detailed Action

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-10 and 15-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as

based on a disclosure which is not enabling. Claims 1-16 are missing critical or

essential elements necessary for the invention to function. See In re Mayhew, 527 F.2d

1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). In particular, the Declaratory evidence provided on

6-7-2002 demonstrates that the prior art fails to meet the claimed property recitations

despite meeting the claimed chemical and structural limitations. Applicant describes the

use of a particular polymeric binder that is crucial to the success of attaining the

desired durability and effectiveness of the specific coating (Specification, pp. 12 lines

17-19). Yet, no binder (claim 15), much less a binder of any particular variety is required

by the claims. The specification does not enable one skilled in the art to practice the

invention without undue experimentation to develop techniques and means to achieve

the claimed properties from the chemistry and structure claimed instantly.

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-10 and 15-24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/586,381 Art Unit: 1764

5. Claims 1-10 and 15-24 are indefinite because they fail to set forth the composition or structure of the claimed "finish" and only claim properties of wash durability. Claims that merely set forth physical characteristics desired in an article, and not setting forth specific compositions which would meet such characteristics are invalid as vague, indefinite, and functional since they cover any conceivable combination of ingredients either presently existing or which might be discovered in the future and result in claiming a composition by desired functional characteristics rather than through claiming the structure of said composition Ex parte Slob (PO BdApp) 157 USPQ 172. Since the Specification seems to require elements and characteristics not found in the instant claims, it is not felt that the instant claims can be said "to particularly point out" Applicant's invention as required by the statute. Further, the features and/or characteristics necessary to fulfill the functional desires of the invention are not realized in the instant claim language.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Alex Wachtel, whose number is (703)-306-0320. The Examiner can normally be reached Mondays-Fridays from 10:30am to 6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful and the matter is urgent, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Glenn Caldarola can be reached at (703) 308-6824. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

TERREL MORRIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700